

Generalized sequence spaces over n -normed spaces

Kuldip Raj

School of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India
kuldeepraj68@rediffmail1.com, kuldipraj68@gmail.com

Sunil K. Sharma

School of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India
sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in

Anil Kumar

School of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India

Abstract

In the present paper we introduce generalized sequence spaces over a n -normed space defined by Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$.

Received December 8, 2011

Revised April 25, 2012

Accepted in final form October 29, 2012

Communicated with Marián Grendár.

Keywords Orlicz function, Musielak-Orlicz function, paranorm space, sequence space, n -normed spaces.

MSC(2000) 40A05, 46A45, 46E30.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler [4] in the mid of 1960's, while that of n -normed spaces one can see in Misiak [11]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan [5, 6] and Gunawan and Mashadi [7]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is field of real or complex numbers of dimension d , where $d \geq n \geq 2$. A real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the following four conditions:

1. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent in X ;
2. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
3. $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, and
4. $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called a n -norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called a n -normed space over the field \mathbb{K} .

For example, we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ being equipped with the n -norm $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E =$ the volume of the n -dimensional parallelopiped spanned by the vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed space of dimension $d \geq n \geq 2$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be linearly independent set in X . Then the following function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1} defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

defines an $(n-1)$ -norm on X with respect to $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to converge to some $L \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the n -norm. Any complete n -normed space is said to be n -Banach space.

An Orlicz function M is a function, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [9] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let w be the space of all real or complex sequences $x = (x_k)$, then

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \right\}$$

which is called as an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [9] that every Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($p \geq 1$). The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(Lx) \leq kLM(x)$ for all values of $x \geq 0$, and for $L > 1$. A sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz function is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see [10, 13]. A sequence $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$ is defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - (M_k) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its subspace $h_{\mathcal{M}}$ are defined as follows:

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left(1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

By w we denote the space of all real or complex valued sequences. If $x \in w$, then we simply write $x = (x_k)$ instead of $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Also, we will use the conventions that $e = (1, 1, \dots)$. Any vector subspace of w is called a sequence space. We will write l_{∞} , c and c_0 for the sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent, and null sequences, respectively. Further, by l_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), we denote the sequence space of all p -absolutely convergent series, that is, $l_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty \right\}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Throughout the article, $w(X)$, $l_{\infty}(X)$, and $l_p(X)$ denote, respectively, the spaces of all bounded, and p -absolutely summable sequences with the elements in X , where (X, q) is a seminormed space. By $\theta = (0, 0, \dots)$, we denote the zero element in X . P_s denotes the set of all subsets of \mathbb{N} , that do not contain more than s elements. With (φ_s) , we will denote a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $(s-1)\varphi_{s-1} \leq (s-1)\varphi_s$ and $\varphi_s \rightarrow \infty$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$. The class of all the sequences (φ_s) satisfying this property is denoted by φ .

In paper [12], the notion of λ -convergent and bounded sequences is introduced as follows: let $\lambda = (\lambda_k)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive reals tending to infinity, that is

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots, \quad \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We say that a sequence $x = (x_k) \in w$ is λ -convergent to the number $l \in \mathbb{C}$, called as the λ -limit of x , if $\Lambda_n(x) \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\Lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) x_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, we say that x is a λ -null sequence if $\Lambda_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further, we say that x is λ -bounded if $\sup |\Lambda_n(x)| < \infty$. Here and in the sequel, we will use the convention that any term with a negative subscript is equal to naught, for example, $\lambda_{-1} = 0$ and $x_{-1} = 0$. Now, it is well known in [12] that if $\lim_n x_n = a$ in the ordinary sense of convergence, then

$$\lim_n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k - a| \right) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_n |\Lambda_n(x) - a| = \lim_n \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) (x_k - a) \right| = 0,$$

which yields that $\lim_n \Lambda_n(x) = a$ and hence x is λ -convergent to a . We therefore deduce that the ordinary convergence implies the λ -convergence to the same limit. The notion

of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [8], who studied the difference sequence spaces $l_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_o(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et and Çolak [3] by introducing the spaces $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$, $c(\Delta^n)$ and $c_o(\Delta^n)$.

Let m, n be non-negative integers, then for Z a given sequence space, we have

$$Z(\Delta_m^n) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and l_∞ where $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$ and $\Delta_m^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}.$$

Taking $m = 1$, we get the spaces $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$, $c(\Delta^n)$ and $c_o(\Delta^n)$ studied by Et and Çolak [3]. Taking $m = n = 1$, we get the spaces $l_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_o(\Delta)$ introduced and studied by Kizmaz [8]. For more details about sequence spaces see [2, 14, 15, 16] and references therein.

The space $m(\phi)$ introduced and studied by Sargent [17] is defined as follows:

$$m(\phi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in s : \|x\|_{m(\phi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

Let M be an Orlicz function, then Tripathy and Mahanta [18] defined and studied the following sequence space:

$$m(M, \varphi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Recently, Altun and Bilgin [1] defined and studied the following sequence spaces:

$$m(M, A, \varphi, p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i x|}{\rho}\right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

We define the following sequence spaces which we shall discuss in the second section of the present paper:

$$m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) =$$

$$\left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k\left(q\left(\left\|\frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)\right)^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $M_k(x) = x$, we get

$$m\left(\varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$$

$$= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} \left(q\left(\left\|\frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)\right)^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $p = (p_k) = 1$, we get

$$m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$$

$$= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_k \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k\left(q\left(\left\|\frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)\right) = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$, $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq K\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\} \quad (1.1)$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

In this paper we study some topological properties and inclusion relation between spaces $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ which we have defined above and the spaces $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ which we shall discuss in the third section of the paper.

2 Sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function

In this section we study some topological properties and inclusion relation between the spaces $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real number, the sequence space $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space over the set of complex number \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Let $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k) \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist positive real numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} = 0.$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is a n -norm on X and M_k are non-decreasing and convex function so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left[\left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (\beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq K \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & \quad + K \lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Hence $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space. \square

Theorem 2. For any Musielak Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a topological linear space paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\},$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup_k p_k < \infty)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x = (x_k) \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Since $M_k(0) = 0$, we get $g(0) = 0$. Again, if $g(x) = 0$, then

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist some $\rho_\epsilon (0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon)$ such that

$$\left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $x_k \neq 0$ for each $k \in N$. this implies that $\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k \neq 0$ for each $k \in N$. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow \infty$$

Which is a contradiction. Therefore $\Lambda_n \Delta_m^n x_k = 0$ for each k and thus $x_k = 0$ for each $k \in N$. Let $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Let $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$. Then by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (x_k + y_k)}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ρ' 's are non-negative, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x+y) &= \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (x_k + y_k)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&+ \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$

Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let μ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\mu \Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ (|\mu|t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\mu \Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{t}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \right\},$$

where $t = \frac{\rho}{|\mu|}$. Since $|\mu|^{pr} \leq \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r)$, we have

$$g(\mu x) = \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r) \inf \left\{ (t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{t}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

So, the fact that scalar multiplication is continuous follows from the above inequality. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} < \infty$.

Proof. Let $x \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ and $N = \sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} < \infty$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi_s^{**}} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} \frac{1}{\varphi_s^*} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & = N \frac{1}{\varphi_s^*} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$. Conversely, suppose that

$$m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \subset m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$$

and $x \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$. Then there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_s^*} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} < \epsilon,$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} = \infty$, then there exists a sequence of numbers

(s_j) such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{s_j}^*}{\varphi_{s_j}^{**}} = \infty$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi_s^{**}} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s_j}^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s_j}^*} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x \notin m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 4. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) = m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$$

if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^}{\varphi_s^{**}} < \infty$, $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^{**}}{\varphi_s^*} > \infty$.*

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit. □

Theorem 5. *For Musielak-Orlicz functions $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$, $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_k)$ and $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_k)$ which satisfy Δ_2 -condition and q, q_1, q_2 are seminorms. Then the following relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & m\left(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \subset m\left(\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\
(ii) \quad & m\left(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \cap m\left(\mathcal{M}'', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\
& \subset m\left(\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\
(iii) \quad & m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_1, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \cap m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_2, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\
& \subset \left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_1 + q_2, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of theorem along the same lines as the proof of the Theorem 2.5 of [1]. \square

Corollary 6. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function which satisfy Δ_2 - condition. Then $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \subset m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$.*

Theorem 7. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is solid.*

Proof. Let $x \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} < \epsilon,$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Let (α_n) be a sequence of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha_k x_k)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} |\alpha_k| M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_s} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is solid space. \square

Corollary 8. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. The sequence space $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is monotone.*

Proof. It is obvious. \square

3 Generalized sequence spaces

Let $A = (a_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. Then, we have

$$A(x) = (A_i(x)) \text{ if } A_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} x_k$$

converges for each i . For more details see [1].

In this section we introduce the following sequence spaces which are actually the generalizations of sequence spaces defined by Altun and Bilgin [1]. Thus we have the spaces:

$$m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If $M_k(x) = x$, we have

$$m(A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

In this section of the present paper we shall also study some topological properties and inclusion relations between the spaces $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Theorem 9. For Musielak-Orlicz functions $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$. Then the sequence space $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space over the set of complex number \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k) \in m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist positive real numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \infty.$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is a n -norm on X and (M_k) are non-decreasing and convex function so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left[\left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) + \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (\beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq K \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & + K \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Hence $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space. \square

Theorem 10. For any Musielak Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, the space $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is a topological linear space paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\},$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup_k p_k < \infty)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x = (x_k) \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$. Since $M_k(0) = 0$, we get $g(0) = 0$. Again, if $g(x) = 0$, then

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist some $\rho_\epsilon (0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon)$ such that

$$\left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $x_k \neq 0$ for each $k \in N$. this implies that $A_k \Delta_m^n x_k \neq 0$ for each $k \in N$. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow \infty$$

Which is a contradiction. Therefore, $A_k \Delta_m^n x_k = 0$ for each k and thus $x_k = 0$ for each $k \in N$. Let $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Let $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$. Then by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
 & + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
 & \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ' s are non-negative, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x + y) & = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (x_k + y_k)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
 & \leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
 & + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n y_k}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$

Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let μ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ (|\mu|t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (\mu x_k)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \right\},$$

where $t = \frac{\rho}{|\mu|}$. Since $|\mu|^{pr} \leq \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r)$, we have

$$g(\mu x) = \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r) \inf \left\{ (t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left(\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{t}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

So, the fact that scalar multiplication is continuous follows from the above inequality. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 11. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^}{\varphi_s^{**}} < \infty$.*

Proof. The proof is trivial so we omit it. \square

Corollary 12. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) = m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$$

if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^*}{\varphi_s^{**}} < \infty, \quad \sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_s^{**}}{\varphi_s^*} > \infty.$

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit. \square

Theorem 13. *For Musielak-Orlicz functions $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$, $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_k)$ and $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_k)$ which satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Then the following relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & m\left(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \subset m\left(\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\ (ii) \quad & m\left(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \cap m\left(\mathcal{M}'', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \\ & \subset m\left(\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is along the same lines as the proof of the Theorem 2.5 of [1]. \square

Corollary 14. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function which satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Then $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right) \subset m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right).$*

Theorem 15. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is solid.*

Proof. Let $x \in m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \epsilon,$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Let (α_k) be a sequence of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n (\alpha_k x_k)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |\alpha_k| M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{A_k \Delta_m^n x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is solid space. \square

Corollary 16. *If $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space $m\left(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|\right)$ is monotone.*

Proof. It is obvious. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for his valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] Y. Altun and T. Bilgin, *On a new class of sequences related to the l_p space defined by Orlicz function*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, Vol. **13**, no.4(2003), pp. 1189-1196.
- [2] T. Bilgin, *Some new difference sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function*, Filomat, **17** (2003), pp. 1-8.
- [3] M. Et and R. Colak, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow. J. Math., **21** (1995),377-386.
- [4] S. Gahler, *Linear 2-normietre Rume*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1965), pp. 1-43.
- [5] H. Gunawan, *On n -Inner Product, n -Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, **5** (2001), pp. 47-54.
- [6] H. Gunawan, *The space of p -summable sequence and its natural n -norm*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **64** (2001), pp. 137-147.
- [7] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On n -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2001), pp. 631-639.
- [8] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math-Bull., **24** (1981), pp. 169-176.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math., **10** (1971), pp. 379-390.
- [10] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics **5**, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
- [11] A. Misiak, *n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **140** (1989), pp. 299-319.
- [12] M. Mursaleen and A. K. Noman, *On the spaces of λ -convergent and bounded sequences*, Thai J. Math., Vol. **8** (2010), pp. 311-329.
- [13] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1034** (1983).
- [14] Kuldip Raj, A. K. Sharma and Sunil K. Sharma, *A Sequence space defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. **67**(2011), pp. 475-484.
- [15] Kuldip Raj, Sunil K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces in n -normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Armenian J. of Math., **3** (2010), pp. 127-141.
- [16] Kuldip Raj, Sunil K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions in n -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Engg. Appl. **5** (2011), pp. 395-403.
- [17] W. L. C. Sargent, *Some sequences spaces related to the l_p spaces*, Journal of London Mathematical Society, Vol. **35**(1960), pp. 161-171.
- [18] B. C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, *Some sequences spaces related to the l_p spaces defined by Orlicz function*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Vol. **29**(2003), pp. 379-391.
- [19] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North- Holland Math. Stud. **85**(1984).