

A NOTE TO THE TRANSFORMATION OF T-NORMS BASED ON THE LIMIT T-NORMS

DANA SMUTNÁ

ABSTRACT. This is a continuation of the work in Smutná, A note to the construction of t-norms based on the limit t-norms, EUROFUSE-SIC'99, Budapest, Hungaria, 1999, 408-411. Transformation of the basic t-norms T_D and T_M by means of a transformation based on a non-decreasing transformation φ of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ yielding triangular norms are studied.

1. Introduction

Let us recall the well-known definition of the triangular norm.

Definition 1. A *triangular norm* (*t-norm* for short) is a binary operation on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., a function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ the following four axioms are satisfied:

(T1) *Commutativity*

$$T(x, y) = T(y, x),$$

(T2) *Associativity*

$$T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z),$$

(T3) *Monotonicity*

$$T(x, y) \leq T(x, z) \quad \text{whenever } y \leq z,$$

(T4) *Boundary Condition*

$$T(x, 1) = x.$$

Example 1. The operators T_D, T_M defined by

$$T_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$T_M(x, y) = \min(x, y)$$

are t-norms. They belong to the basic t-norms.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03B52.

Key words and phrases. T-norm, pseudo-inverse, transformation

Research supported by grant VEGA 1/4064/97.

Definition 2. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function. The function $\varphi^{(-1)}$ which is defined by

$$\varphi^{(-1)}(x) = \sup\{z \in [0, 1]; \varphi(z) < x\},$$

is called the pseudo-inverse of the function φ , with the convention $\sup \emptyset = 0$

Remark 1. Note that for the function φ and its pseudo-inverse the inequality $\varphi^{(-1)}(\varphi(x)) \leq x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ is satisfied.

Now, we deal with the formula $\varphi^{(-1)}[T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))]$ where φ is a function from the unit interval to itself, and T is a t-norm. We are interested in the conditions under which this formula yields a t-norm. There are several methods in the literature concerning the construction of a new t-norm T , see [1],[2],[3]. We cite here one of them, see [7].

Proposition 1. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be non-decreasing on the interval $[0, 1]$ and continuous on the open unit interval $]0, 1[$ function and T be a t-norm. Then the operator T_φ , which is defined by

$$T_\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}[T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))] & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a t-norm.

2. The t-norms generated by T_D

In this part we investigate the t-norms, which are produced by construction from Proposition 1, when we consider Drastic product T_D and any function φ non-decreasing on the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

Example 2. For illustration we show next examples of generated t-norms.

(i) Let $\varphi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_1(x) = x^2,$$

then

$$(T_D)_{\varphi_1}(x, y) = T_D(x, y).$$

(ii) Let $\varphi_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_2(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1], \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_D)_{\varphi_2}(x, y) = T_D(x, y).$$

(iii) Let $\varphi_3 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_3(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_D)_{\varphi_3}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]^2, \\ T_D & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]^2, \\ T_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) Let $\varphi_4 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_4(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ x + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_D)_{\varphi_4}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{3}{4}]^2 \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [0, \frac{1}{4}] \times [\frac{3}{4}, 1], \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]^2, \\ T_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(v) Let $\varphi_5 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_5(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_D)_{\varphi_5}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{3}{4}]^2, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]^2, \\ T_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As far as T_D is a special t-norm, Proposition 1 holds true for the all functions φ .

Proposition 2. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function and an operator $(T_D)_\varphi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}[T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $(T_D)_\varphi$ is a *t*-norm and $(T_D)_\varphi = T_D$ if and only if $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) = 1$ or $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) = 1$, then from Definition 2 we have $\varphi(c) < 1$ for $c \in [0, 1[$. Suppose $x, y \in [0, 1[$, then $\varphi(x) < 1, \varphi(y) < 1$ and $T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = 0$. Therefore $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{(-1)}(0) = 0$. If $x = 1$ or $y = 1$, then $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \min(x, y)$ by Proposition 1.

Let $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) = 0$, then for all $x, y \in [0, 1[, T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq 1$ and consequently $0 \leq (T_D)_\varphi(x, y) \leq \varphi^{(-1)}(1) = 0$, i.e., $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = (T_D)(x, y) = 0$.

Let $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) \neq 1$ and $\varphi^{(-1)}(1) \neq 0$. Then there exists $c \in]0, 1[$ such that $\varphi(c) = 1$ and there exists $d \in]0, c[$ such that $\varphi(d) < 1$. Then $(T_D)_\varphi(c, c) = \varphi^{(-1)}(1) \neq 0 = T_D(c, c)$.

Proposition 3. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function, such that there exists $c \in [0, 1]$, such that $\varphi(c) = 1$ and for all $c^* < c$, $\varphi(c^*) < 1$. Let $\{[a_i, b_i]\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subintervals of $[0, c]$, such that $\varphi(x) = c_i$ whenever $x \in [a_i, b_i]$ (on the set $[0, c] \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} [a_i, b_i]$ the function φ is strictly increasing). Then for the *t*-norm $(T_D)_\varphi$ the equality

$$(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, c]^2, \\ c & \text{if } (x, y) \in [c, 1]^2, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}(c_i) = a_i & \text{if } (x, y) \in [c, 1] \times [a_i, b_i] \text{ or } (x, y) \in [a_i, b_i] \times [c, 1], \\ T_M(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is satisfied.

Proof.

- (i) Let $(x, y) \in [0, c]^2$, then $\varphi(x) < 1, \varphi(y) < 1$ and $T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = 0$. Therefore $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = 0$.
- (ii) Let $(x, y) \in [c, 1]^2$, then $\varphi(x) = 1, \varphi(y) = 1$ and $T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = 1$ and $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{(-1)}(1) = c$.
- (iii) Let $(x, y) \in [c, 1] \times [a_i, b_i]$, then $\varphi(x) = 1, \varphi(y) = c_i$ and $T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = c_i$. Therefore $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{(-1)}(c_i) = a_i$.
If $(x, y) \in [a_i, b_i] \times [c, 1]$ then $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{(-1)}(c_i) = a_i$ from commutativity.
- (iv) Let $x \in [c, 1]$ and $y \in [0, 1] \setminus [a_i, b_i]$ for all $i \in I$, then $\varphi(y) < \varphi(x) = 1$ and $T_D(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \varphi(y)$. Therefore $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{(-1)}(\varphi(y)) = y = T_M(x, y)$.
If $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} [a_i, b_i]$ and $y \in [c, 1]$ then $(T_D)_\varphi(x, y) = T_M(x, y)$ from commutativity.

3. The *t*-norms generated by T_M

In this part we investigate the *t*-norms, which are produced by construction from Proposition 1, when we consider the *t*-norm T_M . Because of specific form of this *t*-norm, again we can strengthen Proposition 1.

Proposition 4. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function and the operator $(T_M)_\varphi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$(T_M)_\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1, \\ \varphi^{(-1)}[T_M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(T_M)_\varphi = T_M$ if and only if φ is a strictly increasing function.

Proposition 5. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function continuous on the open unit interval $]0, 1[$. Let $\{[a_i, b_i]\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subintervals of $[0, 1]$, such that $\varphi(x) = c_i$ whenever $x \in [a_i, b_i]$ (on interval $[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} [a_i, b_i]$ function φ is strictly increasing). Then for the operator $(T_M)_\varphi$ is a t -norm given by

$$(T_M)_\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{(-1)}(c_i) = a_i & \text{if } (x, y) \in [a_i, 1[\times [a_i, b_i] \text{ or } (x, y) \in [a_i, b_i] \times [b_i, 1[, \\ T_M(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. Note that the Proposition 4 and Proposition 5 can be proved likewise as Proposition 2 and Proposition 3.

Example 3.

(i) Let $\varphi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_M)_{\varphi_1}(x, y) = T_M(x, y).$$

(ii) Let $\varphi_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ x & \text{if } x \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ x + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_M)_{\varphi_2}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{4}[\times [\frac{1}{4}, 1[\cup [0, 1[\times [0, \frac{1}{4}[, \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]^2, \\ T_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) Let $\varphi_3 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\varphi_3(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } x \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(T_M)_{\varphi_3}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1[\times [0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [0, \frac{1}{4}] \times [\frac{1}{4}, 1[\\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } (x, y) \in]\frac{1}{4}, 1[\times]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \cup]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \times]\frac{1}{4}, 1[\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } (x, y) \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1]^2, \\ T_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The function φ_3 satisfy neither Proposition 4 nor Proposition 5 and resulting operator $(T_M)_{\varphi_3}$ is not a t-norm. It is easy to see that for the operator $(T_M)_{\varphi_3}$ is satisfied next inequality

$$(T_M)_{\varphi_3} \left(\frac{3}{4}, (T_M)_{\varphi_3} \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 0 \neq \frac{1}{4} = (T_M)_{\varphi_3} \left((T_M)_{\varphi_3} \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right), \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

which is violation of associativity.

Corollary 1. *Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a non-decreasing function which is either right-continuous or strictly monotone. Then the mapping $(T_M)_{\varphi} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by*

$$(T_M)_{\varphi} = \begin{cases} \varphi^{(-1)}(T_M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))) & \text{if } \max(x, y) < 1, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a t-norm.

Note that a general characterization of all functions φ such that $(T_M)_{\varphi}$ is a t-norm is still an open problem. The right-continuity or strict-monotonicity of the function φ are not necessary. We can see it in Example 3, case (ii), where the operator $(T_M)_{\varphi_2}$ is a t-norm.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Jenei, *Fibred triangular norms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, (in press).
- [2] E.P.Klement, R.Mesiar, E.Pap, *Triangular norms*, monograph in preparation.
- [3] E.P.Klement, R.Mesiar, E.Pap, *Constructions of t-norms via pseudo-inverse*, Enriched Lattice Structures for Many Valued Fuzzy Logics, Linz, 1997, 16-18.
- [4] E.P.Klement, R.Mesiar, E.Pap, *Quasi and pseudo-inverses of monotone functions and the construction of t-norms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 104(1999), 3-13.
- [5] B.Schweizer, A.Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland, New York, 1983.

- [6] Smutná, *A note to the construction of t -norms based on the limit t -norms*, EUROFUSE-SIC'99, Budapest, Hungaria, 1999, 408-411.
- [7] P. Viceník, *A note to a construction of t -norms based on pseudo-inverses of monotone functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 104(1999), 15-18.

(Received August 9, 1999)

Dept. of Mathematics
Matej Bel University
Tajovského 40
974 01 Banská Bystrica
SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: smutna@fpv.umb.sk