

## LINE DIGRAPHS OF COMPLETE BIPARTITE SYMMETRIC DIGRAPHS ARE RATIONAL

JÁN BEKA

ABSTRACT. A digraph  $D$  is divisible by  $t$  if its arc set can be partitioned into  $t$  subsets, such that the sub-digraphs (called factors) induced by the subsets are all isomorphic. If  $D$  has  $q$  arcs, then it is  $t$ -rational if it is divisible by  $t$  or  $t$  does not divide  $q$ .  $D$  is rational if it is  $t$ -rational for all  $t \geq 2$ . In this note, we show that graphs  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  are rational.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

An isomorphic factorization of a digraph  $D$  is a partition of its arc set into subsets such that the sub-digraphs (called factors) induced by the subsets are mutually isomorphic. If there exists an isomorphic factorization  $D$  into  $t$  factors, we say that  $D$  is divisible by  $t$ . For given  $t$  and a given digraph  $D$  having precisely  $q$  arcs, an obvious necessary condition for the divisibility of  $D$  by  $t$  is that  $t$  divides  $q$ . This is called the divisibility condition for  $D$  and  $t$ .  $D$  is  $t$ -rational if  $D$  is divisible by  $t$  or the divisibility condition for  $D$  and  $t$  is not satisfied, otherwise  $D$  is  $t$ -irrational;  $D$  is rational if it is  $t$ -rational for all  $t \geq 2$ , otherwise  $D$  is irrational, in which case  $D$  is  $t$ -irrational for some  $t \geq 2$ .

The problem which concerns us is to find values of  $r$  and  $t$  for which all  $r$ -regular digraphs are  $t$ -rational. Wormald [6] has shown that for fixed  $t$  and  $r$  such that  $2 \leq t \leq r$ , almost all  $r$ -regular digraphs are not divisible by  $t$ , and for fixed  $t \geq 2$  almost all regular tournaments also are not divisible by  $t$ . Further, in [6] it was proved that all 1-regular digraphs are rational. For  $r$ -regular graphs, some results in the direction were achieved in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

The line digraph  $L(D)$  of a digraph  $D(V, A)$  has the arc set of  $D$  as its vertex set, and there is an arc from  $xy$  to  $zw$  in  $L(D)$  if  $y = z$ . The aim of this paper is to prove the divisibility of digraphs  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  by  $t$  for any  $t$  dividing the number of its arcs.

### 2. RESULT

Let  $K_{n,n}^*$  be a complete bipartite symmetric digraph with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , where  $|V_1| = |V_2| = n$ . Then the line graph digraph  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is a digraph with  $2n^2$  vertices,  $2n^3$  arcs and regular of degree  $n$ . We shall prove the following theorem:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C70; Secondary 05C20.

*Key words and phrases.* isomorphic factorization, line graph.

**Theorem.** Let  $n > 1$  be any positive integer. Then the line graph  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is rational.

*Proof.* Assume  $t|2n^3$  for any positive integer  $t > 1$ . We show that then  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is divisible by  $t$ .

Let  $K_{n,n}^*$  denote the complete bipartite symmetric digraph with partite sets  $V_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $V_2 = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots x_n y_n)(x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 \dots x_n y_1) \dots (x_1 y_n x_2 y_1 \dots x_n y_{n-1}) \\ &\quad (y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 \dots y_n x_n)(y_2 x_1 y_3 x_2 \dots y_1 x_n) \dots (y_n x_1 y_1 x_2 \dots y_{n-1} x_n) = \\ &= \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n \end{aligned}$$

be the vertex permutation of  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$ . Let  $\alpha_2$  denote a permutation of arcs of  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  that is induced by the permutation  $\alpha_1$ . The induced arc permutation  $\alpha_2$  is seen to have the property that the length of every cycle is  $n$ , and that the number of these cycles is equal to  $2n^2$ . Thus induced permutation  $\alpha_2$  has the expression of the form of a product of cycles

$$\alpha_2 = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_i \gamma_j \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_j \varepsilon_i.$$

Define now a new digraph  $K^*(A, B)$  with partite sets  $A = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  and  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let every vertex  $u_i(v_i)$  correspond to the cycle  $\varepsilon_i(\gamma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and let the vertex  $u_i(v_j)$  be connected by an arc with the vertex  $v_j(u_i)$  if and only if a cycle  $\varepsilon_i \gamma_j(\gamma_j \varepsilon_i)$  belongs to  $\alpha_2$ . It is evident that the digraph  $K^*(A, B)$  is isomorphic to  $K_{n,n}^*$ . Next, let  $\vec{K}(X, Y)$  denote a complete bipartite digraph which contains all arcs of which start-vertex is from  $X$  and end-vertex is from  $Y$ .

The exact construction of  $t$  isomorphic factors of  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  depends on the parity of  $t$ .

**Case 1.** Let  $t$  be even and let  $t|2n^3$ . Then  $t = 2r$  for some positive integer  $r$ , and therefore  $r$  divides  $n^3$ . Let  $\gcd(r, n^2) = r_1$ . Consequently, there exist positive integers  $b$  and  $c$ , such that  $b|n$ ,  $c|n$ , and  $r_1 = bc$ . Next, let  $r|r_1 = d$ . Then obviously  $d|n$ .

Divide  $K^*(A, B)$  into two isomorphic digraphs  $\vec{K}(A, B)$  and  $\vec{K}(B, A)$ . Owing to this it is sufficient to construct a decomposition of  $\vec{K}(A, B)$  into  $r$  isomorphic sub-digraphs.

Firstly, construct the decomposition of  $\vec{K}(A, B)$  into  $r_1$  isomorphic sub-digraphs. Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^b A_k$ ,  $B = \bigcup_{s=1}^c B_s$ ,  $|A_k| = n/b$ , and  $|B_s| = n/c$ , where the sets  $A_k$  and  $B_s$  are mutually disjoint. Define  $r_1$  sub-digraphs of  $\vec{K}(A, B)$  in the following way:  $G_{ks} = \vec{K}(A_k, B_s)$  for every ordered couple  $(k, s) \in \{1, 2, \dots, b\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$ . Sub-digraphs  $G_{ks}$  are all isomorphic because there exists an isomorphism between  $G_{k_1 s_1}$  and  $G_{k_2 s_2}$  induced by mapping  $(k_1, s_1) \rightarrow (k_2, s_2)$ . By the backward application of the previous correspondence on the digraphs  $G_{ks}$ , we get the decomposition of  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  into  $r_1$  isomorphic sub-digraphs, denote them by  $F_{ks}$ . To complete the proof it now suffices, without loss of generality, to decompose  $F_{11}$  into  $d$  isomorphic sub-digraphs. Let one part of the complete bipartite digraph  $F_{11}$  contains vertices

of cycles  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n/b}$  and second part contains vertices of cycles  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n/c}$ . Denote by  $\alpha_2/F_{11}$  the reduced induced arc permutation which contains only those cycles in  $\alpha_2$  which correspond to arcs belonging to  $F_{11}$ . Then

$$\alpha_2/F_{11} = \prod_{i=1}^{n/b} \prod_{j=1}^{n/c} \varepsilon_i \gamma_j$$

is the product cycles having lengths which are multiples of  $d$  as  $d|n$ . Choose now from each cycle of the permutation  $\alpha_2/F_{11}$  an arc  $h_{ij}$  that occupies the first place in given cycle and put

$$E = E(F_{111}) = \{(\alpha_2/F_{11})^{ud}(h_{ij}); u \geq 0\}.$$

Then  $\{E, (\alpha_2/F_{11})(E), \dots, (\alpha_2/F_{11})^{d-1}(E)\}$  is a partition of the arcs of  $F_{11}$ . This constitutes an isomorphic factorization of  $F_{11}$ , as the sub-digraph  $F_{111}$  induced by  $E$  is isomorphic to the sub-digraphs of  $F_{11}$  induced by each of  $(\alpha_2/F_{11})(E), \dots$ . Isomorphisms between  $F_{111}$  and these sub-digraphs are provided by the corresponding powers of  $\alpha_1$ . Hence  $F_{11}$  is divisible by  $d$ . In consequence of preceding follows that the digraph  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is divisible by  $t$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $t$  be odd and let  $t|2n^3$ . Then  $t$  divides  $n^3$ . Let  $(t, n^2) = t_1$  and let  $t/t_1 = d$ . Then obviously  $d$  must divide  $n$ . Since  $t_1|n^2$ , then there exist positive integers  $b$  and  $c$  such that  $b|n$ ,  $c|n$ , and  $t_1 = bc$ . Consider subsets  $A_k$  and  $B_s$  that have the same meaning as in the Case 1.

Suppose  $b > 1$  and  $c > 1$ . Define for every ordered couple  $(k, s) \in \{1, 2, \dots, b\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$  digraphs  $G_{ks} = \overrightarrow{K}(A_k, B_s) \cup \overrightarrow{K}(B_{s+1}, A_k)$  where the addition  $s+1$  is taken modulo  $c$  with residues  $1, 2, \dots, c$ . It is seen that every digraph  $G_{ks}$  is isomorphic to the directed "path"  $\overrightarrow{P}_3$  with "vertices"  $B_{s+1}, A_k$  and  $B_s$ , whereupon these paths are arc-disjoint. Then digraphs  $F_{ks}$  obtained from  $G_{ks}$  by analogous fashion as stated above provide an isomorphic factorization of  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  into  $t_1$  factors. Take now the digraph  $F_{11}$  and decompose it into  $d$  isomorphic sub-digraphs. Let one part of the bipartite digraph  $F_{11}$  contains vertices that are elements of cycles  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n/b}$  and the second part contains vertices that are elements of cycles  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n/c}, \gamma_{n/c+1}, \dots, \gamma_{2n/c}$ , and let the induced reduced permutation  $\alpha_2/F_{11}$  contains only those cycles from  $\alpha_2$  for which there exists a corresponding arc in  $F_{11}$ . Then

$$\alpha_2/F_{11} = \prod_{i=1}^{n/b} \prod_{j=1}^{n/c} \varepsilon_i \gamma_j \cdot \prod_{j=n/c+1}^{2n/c} \prod_{i=1}^{n/b} \gamma_j \varepsilon_i,$$

where all cycles of  $\alpha_2/F_{11}$  have lengths which are multiples of  $d$ . From each cycle  $\varepsilon_i \gamma_j$  and  $\gamma_j \varepsilon_i$  in  $\alpha_2/F_{11}$  choose the first arc  $h_{ij}$  and  $e_{ji}$ , respectively, and put

$$E = E(F_{111}) = \{(\alpha_2/F_{11})^{ud}(h_{ij}), (\alpha_2/F_{11})^{ud}(e_{ji}); u \geq 0\}.$$

The system  $\{E, (\alpha_2/F_{11})(E), \dots, (\alpha_2/F_{11})^{d-1}(E)\}$  is a partition of the arc set of  $F_{11}$  and sub-digraphs induced by these subsets provides an isomorphic factorization of  $F_{11}$ . Thus  $F_{11}$  is divisible by  $d$  and in consequence of the preceding the digraph  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is divisible by  $t$ .

Suppose now  $b > 1$  and  $c = 1$ . Then  $d = 1$  and  $t = b$ . Define in this case  $b$  sub-digraphs of  $K^*(A, B)$  in this way:  $G_k = \overrightarrow{K}(A_k, B) \cup \overrightarrow{K}(B, A_{k+1})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, b$ . The addition  $k + 1$  is taken modulo  $b$  with residues  $1, 2, \dots, b$ . Note, that subsets  $A_k$  have the same meaning as in Case 1. As above, the gained sub-digraphs  $F_k$  are all isomorphic because each of them is isomorphic to the directed “path”  $\overrightarrow{P}_3$  with “vertices”  $A_k, B$  and  $A_{k+1}$ , and these directed “paths” are arc-disjoint. Hence  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is divisible by  $t$ . Since for every  $t$  dividing  $2n^3$  the digraph  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is divisible by  $t$ , then  $L(K_{n,n}^*)$  is rational, which completes the proof.

In conclusion, we note that analogous theorem for non-oriented graphs  $L(K_{n,n})$  will be proved in the forthcoming paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Beka, J.: *Isomorphic factorization of  $r$ -regular graphs into  $r$ -parts* (to appear).
- [2] Beka, J.:  *$t$ -rational regular graphs* (to appear).
- [3] Ellingham, M. N. and Wormald, N. C.: *Isomorphic factorization of regular graphs and 3-regular multigraphs*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1988), 14–24.
- [4] Ellingham, M. N.: *Isomorphic factorization of regular graphs of even degree*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **44** (1988), 402–420.
- [5] Ellingham, M. N.: *Isomorphic factorization of  $r$ -regular graphs into  $r$ -parts*, Discrete Math. **69** (1988), 19–34.
- [6] Wormald, N. C.: *Isomorphic factorization VII: Regular graphs and tournaments*, Journal of Graph Theory, **8** (1984), 117–122.

(Received October 18, 2001)

Department of Algebra and Number Theory  
Faculty of Natural Sciences  
Constantine the Philosopher University Nitra  
Tr. A. Hlinku 1  
SK-949 74 Nitra  
SLOVAKIA  
E-mail address: jbeka@ukf.sk